

11 of 2022

M/s Bajrangi Stone Crusher Vs. HSPCB

Present: Sh.Vikram Singh, Advocate counsel for appellant
Shri Ramesh Chahal, Advocate for the respondent.

Learned counsel for appellant seek permission to withdraw this appeal in view of the draft notification dated 11.11.2022. He submit that on implementation of this notification the appellant falls in Category which comply with the siting parameters and will seek the re-opening of the stone crusher. He request that the respondent be directed to consider the case of the appellant on the basis of draft notification dated 11.11.2022, as per terms of final notification.

Learned counsel for the respondent submit that as and when the draft notification dated 11.11.2022 is implemented, the appellant will have right to seek the relief as per the terms of that notification.

In view of this statement of the learned counsel for the appellant recorded separately, this appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

Dated 22.12.2022


Appellate Authority

Bajrangji Stone Crusher vs HSPCB 11/2022

Statement of Divyam Dhakla, Adv for
petitioner

I seek withdrawal of this
appeal at this stage and
reserve my right to seek
reopening of stone crusher on
implementation of draft notification
dt 11-11-2022.

ROEAP



22/12/22

Done
Appellate Authority
22/12/2022